

V. 16 Jan 86

NORTH AFRICA

Q1

Libya
BFI

YAR President Reports Contact With PDRY Leader
LD161543 Tripoli Domestic Service in Arabic 1330 GMT
16 Jan 86

[Text] JANA has learned that brother officials in North Yemen have had contact today with Brother 'Ali Nasir Muhammad in Aden. This contact was reported in a telephone call between the brother leader of the revolution and President 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih concerning the halting of the tragic fighting between the brothers in South Yemen and the Jamahiriyah's readiness to work to end the fratricide. JANA has also learned that Brother 'Ali Nasir Muhammad told the brothers in North Yemen of his appreciation and the appreciation of the South Yemeni people for the efforts being made by the brother leader of the revolution, his personal concern about what has been taking place in Aden, his call to end the fratricide, and the readiness of the Libyan Arab people to do anything that might put an end to the fighting and safeguard the revolution in South Yemen. Brother 'Ali Nasir Muhammad highly praised the efforts of the brother leader of the revolution in this respect and stressed that the ordeal experienced by the Yemeni people in the south will end today, and an end will be put to the fighting. He also reaffirmed that the situation in South Yemen is stabilizing.

Al-Qadhdhafi Says War With U.S. 'Less Likely'
JN151825 Paris Radio Monte Carlo in Arabic
1748 GMT 15 Jan 86

[Text] Colonel Mu'ammarr al-Qadhdhafi has announced that confrontation with the United States is less likely than it was a few days ago when battle was about to begin, but the possibility of confrontation still exists. The Libyan colonel, who was speaking to a basic people's committee, said that the masses are unfortunate because they will not be able to participate in the battle if it begins now, because the battle might be fought at sea, dozens and perhaps hundreds of miles from dry land. However, we should not neglect mobilization as the battle might spread to dry land, he said.

Al-Qadhdhafi asked military and civilian cadres to be prepared to help those who will be defending the land for many days and perhaps for many weeks.

Al-Qadhdhafi asked the Libyans to mobilize themselves economically and referred to the need to adopt revolutionary countermeasures against President Reagan's anti-Libyan economic sanctions.

Al-Qadhdhafi Addresses Basic People's Congress
LD151913 Tripoli Television Service in Arabic
1810 GMT 15 Jan 86

[Speech by Libyan leader Mu'ammarr al-Qadhdhafi] at student rally marking the 22d anniversary of the 1964 student uprising and the 68th anniversary of the birth of Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir, in the People's Hall in Tripoli — live]

[Text][Loud chants and indistinct slogans] Brothers: Today we are commemorating two pan-Arab and national events of this

nation, of this Arab people, of this greater Arab homeland — the anniversary of the students' uprising on 14 January 1964. [chants and applause] On a day like yesterday in 1964, our student martyrs fell under the bullets of the reactionary and monarchist dictatorship that handed this homeland over to U.S. and British imperialism and to British colonialism.

They told lies then just as reaction tells lies today, saying this homeland was free and independent. But this occasion — 14 January when our students fell — was not a national event, it was not for the sake of Libya. Let us realize that it was for the sake of the Palestinian cause, for the pan-Arab cause of the Arab nation. On January 13-14 student demonstrations were staged throughout Libya for the sake of the Palestinian cause, for the pan-Arab cause of the Arab nation. At that time they chanted for liberation and unity but reactionary police dictatorship confronted them and martyrs fell among them. At that time they paved the way for Arab nationalism. [indistinct slogans] They paved the way for the reality of a single Arab homeland, a single people, a single cause. They paved this way with their blood and with their lives.

We are proud that we are against dictatorial reactionary monarchy and that in 1964 we offered martyrs to show that the pan-Arab Palestine question was a pan-Arab cause for the Arab nation and that it is the cause of the Arab nation. For the sake of this cause martyrs fell on Libyan territory. In fact, they were not killed by the bullets of invading Zionists but by the bullets of the guards and agents of Israel — the rulers of this country prior to the revolution.

On this day we commemorate a pan-Arab anniversary; it is dear to our hearts and we feel greatly moved by our commemoration of it — the birth of the pan-Arab hero and historical leader Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir. [applause and chants]

Today we are commemorating the birthday of Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir. This proves that the people are one and this nation is one. The best proof of this is that the Arab nation today commemorates the birthday of its pan-Arab hero and historic leader who raised high the banners of pan-Arabism, who defined in its name the tripartite aggression — the forces of imperialism, Zionism, and their ally the reactionary forces. By this commemoration we affirm that this nation is one nation and its hero is not a hero who belongs to this people or the land which is called Egypt, but he is the hero, the leader, and the teacher of this nation.

We, the free unionist officers, are the guardians of the pledge of Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir; we are his successors and his apostles. [applause and chants]

Those who have ruled Egypt since 'Abd al-Nasir are not his successors; they are his opposites. Instead of removing the consequences of the aggression, for which 'Abd al-Nasir provided all the capabilities and placed them at their disposal, they have removed his legacy — his pan-Arab legacy, socialist progressive legacy, and his revolutionary and unionist legacy.

Therefore, those who have rule Egypt since 'Abd al-Nasir are absolutely not his successors but his opposites. They are the

FB 86-011
16 Jan 86

V. 16 Jan 86

12

ISRAEL

Shamir: No Arbitration If Normalization Not Met*TA160640 Jerusalem THE JERUSALEM POST in English
16 Jan 86 p 3*

[Report by Robert Rosenberg]

[Text] Ma'ale Adummim — Vice Premier Yitzhaq Shamir and Finance Minister Yitzhaq Moda'i yesterday warned that Israel would back out of its agreement on arbitration of the Tabah issue should Egypt not comply with demands for "full normalization."

Speaking to about 25 Herut politicians from development towns and West Bank settlements at a conference on settlement, both Shamir and Moda'i claimed that the Likud deserved all the credit for this week's inner cabinet decision on arbitration.

"We didn't fold under Alignment pressure, they folded under our pressure," Moda'i said.

Shamir argued that there was an "ironclad link" between Egyptian fulfillment "of the whole peace treaty, of reaching full peace," and Jerusalem's agreement to arbitration.

According to Shamir, had the Egyptians earlier accepted the idea of "conciliation first and arbitration second," this "whole small and not very significant matter of Tabah" would have "been over months ago."

Moda'i warned that no matter which arbitrators were to be appointed, they were likely to be prejudiced against Israel. "No matter how allied they are with us, the Western world obviously has more economic interests in the Arab world than it does in Israel."

So, Moda'i said, "what the Likud inserted in the inner cabinet decision — including the element that if any point in that decision is not met by the Egyptians, the whole Tabah issue falls — guarantees Israel's security and economic interests in Tabah after the arbitration."

Reacting to reports that sources close to Premier Peres had said that the decision on Tabah would bring negotiations with Jordan and the Palestinians closer, Moda'i said the unity government was based on there being no change in foreign relations or the military situation.

He described the settlement effort as a means of preventing "negotiations for a Palestinian state."

Shamir, while reiterating his approval of direct negotiations, slammed any talk of an international conference as a possible starting point for direct negotiations. "An international conference is merely a codeword for an imposed solution, and we'll never stand for that," Shamir said.

Shamir lashed out at those "who would equate peace with surrender. Surrender is extinction."

David Levi, who spoke earlier in the day, scoffed at "the illusions of those who believe in the Jordanian option. Reality has proven it's all an illusion just as reality has proven wrong all those who scoffed at us when we said that there would be many settlements, when we said that we would change the way housing is made available when we laid the cornerstone of Ma'ale Adummim."

Levi added: "We've burst the balloon of 'Here's Husayn, there's Husayn; he won't go with 'Arafat, he will only go with 'Arafat.'"

Interviewed on Egypt, Jordan*TA151645 Tel Aviv IDF Radio in Hebrew
1500 GMT 15 Jan 86*

[Interview with Vice Prime Minister and Foreign Minister Yitzhaq Shamir by Ilan Ben-Dor; date and place not given — recorded]

[Text][Shamir] I think that we should maintain the law concerning Israel's control over the Temple Mount, in accordance with the customs and procedures which will be and have been determined by the appropriate institutions.

[Ben-Dor] In your opinion, was the status quo disrupted yesterday by Knesset Member Eli'ezer Waldman, who prayed there?

[Shamir] I do not know all the details. I have said here that our control in Jerusalem, including the Temple Mount, is an undisputed fact which should not be changed. We do not need special demonstrations in order to reaffirm our control of the Temple Mount.

[Ben-Dor] Do you believe there is a basis to the fear that the Egyptians will postpone the negotiations at the end of the conciliation process because of their proximity to the rotation?

[Shamir] This is illogical. According to the government's guidelines, the government will conduct the same policy after the rotation as it did before the rotation.

[Ben-Dor] In your opinion, can the Jordanian issue again be raised on the agenda now, as Peres said yesterday, or is it too early?

[Shamir] This is not a question of what is too early or late. One is not conditional upon the other. If the Jordanian Government responds positively to the Israeli Government's resolution and decides on direct negotiations, it can do so at any time.

[Ben-Dor] Has a date already been set for continuing negotiations with the Egyptians?

[Shamir] No date has been set yet, but negotiations will resume shortly.

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 2

NORTH AFRICA

obliterators of 'Abd al-Nasir's good legacies. Although they wiped the pictures of 'Abd al-Nasir off the streets of Egypt and destroyed them inside Egypt's buildings, dumping the formal features of 'Abd al-Nasir in Egypt, they could not and will not be able to wipe out the legacies and the picture of 'Abd al-Nasir from the hearts of 150 million Arabs.

We have to differentiate between those who have ruled Egypt since Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir and the Arab people of Egypt. It is known that those who ruled Egypt after the time of the pharaohs were stricken by the sin of ingratitude. Even Ramses II unjustly claimed for himself all the victories of Ramses I. The science of history and archaeology, after thousands of years, have revealed the truth. Even after thousands of years, this lie was exposed to the history of mankind. We also know now that Ramses II was a falsifier and a liar who claimed the victories of Ramses I. Cleopatra, who was defeated in Europe, returned to Egypt claiming to have scored victory, when she returned defeated. We should not be surprised that those who rule Egypt at any time, from the traitor Al-Sadat to anyone else, if they forged the history of 'Abd al-Nasir or ignored his qualities or removed his legacies, are always pharaohs.

'Abd al-Nasir, if he was a pharaoh, was a pharaoh against the enemies of the Arab nation. He was a pharaoh confronting imperialism, Zionism, and reaction. Those who rule Egypt always want the Egyptians to follow them. The first step they take is to falsify the history of those before them. This is what is happening now after the death of 'Abd al-Nasir.

We are not, however, a nation afflicted by ingratitude. We, the Arab nation, are a glorious nation, a great nation. Whatever falsification and mean apostasy happens to 'Abd al-Nasir's revolution, the Arab nation can never forget its national hero and can never erase the era of 'Abd al-Nasir from its history. Otherwise we would be harming ourselves, our heritage, and our great struggle among the nations of the world. This is because the era of 'Abd al-Nasir is the era of dignity, challenge, and pride and is the era that placed the Arab nation among those which really deserve to live. As for this setback and apostasy, it is not worth anything in the life of the great nation. We will turn this black page, and the Arab nation, with its renewing and growing abilities and with its escalating and increasing struggle will surpass the stage of humiliation in which it is living today — the stage of abuse and insults by the enemies of the Arab nation.

This festival today proves that this nation is not afflicted by the vice of ingratitude, and on that this nation has not forgotten its leader and teacher Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir. These youth who are cheering for Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir did not see him and were not born during his era.

These youth were born after 'Abd al-Nasir. This is proof that 'Abd al-Nasir is in the conscience of the nation, that he is one of the values in the struggle of the Arab nation, which this nation cannot ignore without self-betrayal.

We very much regret what is going on in Egypt these days, despite the titillations and the manifestation of fraternal feelings in the columns of some Egyptian newspapers, and despite the few fraternal good words on the part of Egyptian officials. But there

is something else which is grave and which cannot be hidden in the columns of the Egyptian newspapers. These papers have written good words, words relatively worthy of thanks, in favor of the recent stand of the Libyan people to confront imperialism and Zionism.

Sulayman Khatir was deliberately executed in response to orders from the Zionists. This is now an open truth, and there is no doubt that the Israelis had asked that Sulayman Khatir be executed; he has indeed been executed. They asked for this after the court's sentence was returned. The Israelis believed that the court would sentence him to death like Al-Istambouli [Al-Sadat's assassin], and that he would be executed immediately. But Egyptian jurists, despite all the pressure on them — pressure that led to his being sentenced to life imprisonment, not for legal considerations but due to pressure — have not sentenced him to death. Afterward, the Israelis asked that Sulayman Khatir be executed, and a solution was found [words indistinct] for the physical liquidation of Sulayman Khatir.

The Arab nation must know that Sulayman Khatir was executed after he had been sentenced to jail, on orders from the Zionists.

The other thing that is happening these days is the very humiliating bargaining which does not befit the Egyptian people or their glorious struggle against Zionism and does not befit the Arab nation. By this I mean the bargaining over the so-called Tabah strip — indeed, the tourist Tabah Hotel.

This is a small matter that does not go beyond a tourist hotel that, of course, the Israelis built. Yet it brought about bargaining over the pan-Arab cause and the attitude of Egypt toward the stable David, and a telephone contact between the Egyptian and Israeli presidents, during which words of cordiality and rapprochement were exchanged.

It was also declared that the road is now open for the return of the Egyptian ambassador. All that for what? In return for Israel's agreement to raise the Tabah issue to arbitration, and a new accord? According to the information I received today, this would be a second stable David.

It is a new accord in which the Israelis wish to consolidate anything they did not approve of in Egypt's actions. They want to fetter Egypt with a new accord akin to that of the stable David in return for the Tabah strip.

Furthermore, on the same day confrontation was taking place between us and the U.S. and Israeli fleet in the Mediterranean, a joint maneuver took place, not in western Egypt, as usually is the case, but in eastern Egypt, with Egyptian and U.S. forces. Training took place on fueling bombers in the air.

While the Egyptian papers were writing comparatively nicely, saying that the Egyptian officials affirmed in several ways that they oppose the U.S. aggression against sister Libya and that they will not allow the Americans to use Egypt against Libya, Sulayman Khatir was liquidated.

Telephone calls take place with the Israeli president. They welcome new stable David agreements. They hint at the return of

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 3

NORTH AFRICA

the Egyptian ambassador, and that it will be soon. The joint manoeuvres between the Egyptian and U.S. Air Forces take place. A fact we would like to mention is that no one will be able to deceive us as they deceived 'Abd al-Nasir in 1967 when the Arabs hinted at help and support, but this was a [word indistinct] against pan-Arabism and against the issue of the Arab unity and the issue of liberation. Because the price of this was abandoning the revolutionary call, abandoning the voice of the Arabs. You know, even the famous broadcaster at that time, whose name was Ahmad Sa'id ... [changes thought] The Arab rulers stipulated to 'Abd al-Nasir that, in order for them to support him, he must relieve Ahmad Sa'id from commenting on the voice of the Arabs. This is exactly what happened. There were certain patriotic songs I personally asked for from Egypt radio, and 'Abd al-Nasir was to broadcast them. However, they replied saying that these patriotic songs are banned, songs such as "O Volcano of Anger, You Unite the Arabs." They demanded that these revolutionary patriotic songs not be broadcasted. At that time Egypt was in need. In addition to the humiliation that was imposed by the enemy by force, reaction imposed another humiliation on 'Abd al-Nasir. Now we [words indistinct] of this setback.

We are now in a political and economic confrontation, and there is a threat of military confrontation.

We must not forget ever, while addressing the entire Arab masses today at this pan-Arab occasion — the anniversary of 'Abd al-Nasir's birthday, the hero of this nation — we must not forget that those who brought us to this point were the Arab forces which are linked with imperialism and colonialism, and which intend to continue ruling depending on the colonial bases, as Idris al-Sanusi and the monarchy in Libya did. They ensured their existence and their possession of the Libyan people and the Arab Libyan land and entertained the ambition of continuing it [words indistinct] by depending on the U.S. bases which existed in Libya and the English bases. Suffice it to say that the area where Al-Sanusi lived and where [words indistinct] was in the shadow of the English base in Tubruq; the base [name indistinct] at that time, which has now been renamed the 'Abd al-Nasir base.

Al-Sanusi thought that depending on those bases would enable him to continue his rule and to bequeath it to his sons and grandsons for generations. Naturally, the revolution, the revolutionary forces, the masses, and what these people want [words indistinct] were not taken into consideration. This is where the enemy miscalculated; they do not take this nation into account. The United States is not considering this (?youth) now; they are not considering that we are (?suicidal officials). They did not account for the Arab nation in their calculation. They also did not consider even another force fighting for freedom [word indistinct] fighting by our side all over the world. They always make superficial calculations by analogy with the rulers who deal with them.

If Al-Sadat had done what the Egyptian people wanted, then why did the Egyptian people demonstrate when [word indistinct] tried the soldier Sulayman Khatir? What had Sulayman Khatir done? He killed seven Israelis. The Egyptian people took to the streets in demonstrations supporting Sulayman Khatir. You have heard it as everyone has, they were shouting: We sacrifice our life, our

blood to redeem you [Khatir]. What does this mean? It means that a number of the Egyptian people support the killing of [word indistinct]. In the U.S. logic the Egyptian people are terrorists and must be eliminated, because any group that supports the killing of Israelis, any group that supports revenge on the enemy is considered a terrorist. Therefore, the Egyptian people [words indistinct] due to cheering and chanting].

Al-Sadat said, and those after him have depended on this, that the Egyptian people agreed to the stable David accords. He put it before the so-called People's Assembly and the assembly approved it. What does this mean? It means that Al-Sadat was a liar and that the Egyptian people did not agree to the Camp David agreement. The proof of this is that they demonstrated in support of Sulayman Khatir, who opened fire on the Israelis. This means that the Egyptian people support opening fire on the Israelis. As long as those Israelis were civilians, as was claimed, then the United States views the killing of the civilians as a terrorist act. Thus, the Egyptian people are a terrorist people and the United States must exterminate them completely according to its daily repeated motto that to eliminate terrorism it is essential to attack and liquidate all of its camps and hideouts. Well, Egypt is now a hideout for terrorism and a terrorist camp, and the terrorists are the Egyptians who support the killing of the Israelis. So, if the Egyptian people support those who opened fire on the Israelis, the agreement is with whom?

Just as Ramses II plotted against Ramses I 5,000 years ago, now, and over the past few years we have uncovered the lies and falsifications that have tarnished the Egyptian people — tarnished them and compelled them to accept capitulation and stable David. Now you can see the Egyptian people in the streets demonstrating their support for the soldier — only one soldier — who opened fire on the Israelis; he is supported by the whole Egyptian people, and even though he did not open fire on soldiers but on some Israeli civilians he is still supported by the Egyptian people who demonstrated their support for him. This means, therefore, that the Egyptian people support opening fire on the Israelis [words indistinct].

Today, the Zionist camp is an aggressive camp as a whole. Any Israeli who is inside Palestine should be considered an aggressive and colonialist soldier who is occupying the land of the Arabs. [chanting] He who says that this soldier who opened fire on the Israelis is a madman or merely condemns him to life imprisonment, which the soldier suffered from the judiciary because of his deeds, he is a liar. Another lie has just been uncovered: When they said that Khatir was a madman they meant that only a madman would open fire on the Israelis. When they condemned him to life imprisonment did they mean that he was responsible for such an act and that he was in possession of his mental faculties, and he therefore should be held responsible for his own acts? Are there no more lawyers and judges in Egypt who can reveal this (?illogical thought) and this shameful falsification? This continuous falsification is one of which the Arab people are aware. The toppled lackey Numayri, like in Egypt, used to falsify the will of 20 million Sudanese. He claimed that the Sudanese people want to be the foe of the Libyan people and thus was ready to wage a war against Al-Qadhdhafi. He made them to go out demonstrate their support for that. The United States thought that what the toppled lackey Numayri was saying was the truth

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 4

NORTH AFRICA

and it made calculations upon such bases. Well, all these calculations have collapsed.

It, the United States, also had made all of its calculations according to what Al-Sadat used to say. The Egyptian people, however, rose up and killed Al-Sadat. It is currently making its calculations upon the bases of what its agents say. The free unionist officers will not be in the United States' calculations after having (?lost) its five bases and the British base. The Libyan people, its revolution, and those who have carried this revolution will not be part of these calculations. Therefore, it (?was not a surprise to the picture of Sulayman Khatir among us). The Arab people is a whole Arab people. [Words indistinct] the picture of Sulayman Khatir, Sulayman Khatir the soldier. Let the Arab youth take his picture and hang it everywhere. This is the Arab youth, this is the Arab people, and these are the youths of the Arab nation. [chanting]

This is the Arab nation and this is its reply: The Arab nation will take revenge for Sulayman Khatir.

Thus, the terrorist is not Mu'ammarr al-Qadhafi, not Abu Nidal [Sabri al-Banna], not Abu Musa [Sa'id Musa Muragha], nor Abu Ahmad, nor Abu Jihad [Khalil al-Wazir]. Then the entire Arab nation is a terrorist nation. Every Arab citizen is a terrorist. All the Arab people support and feel proud of soldier Sulayman Khatir for opening fire on the Israelis and killing them.

For the United States to be consistent with what it declared and to have credibility, it should annihilate the Arab people and destroy the Arab homeland on the pretext that it is a big den for terrorism, extending from the ocean to the Gulf. However, if it continues chasing Al-Qadhafi, Abu Nidal, Abu Musa, Abu Ahmad, and Abu Jihad, this means that it has no credibility, for it only seeks specific people, and not terrorism or the so-called terrorism. According to the U.S. definition, whoever kills the Israelis is terrorist and should be killed. Therefore, the United States has to kill all the Arab people.

By our bold stand in the face of the Israeli fleets and threats of the U.S. and Zionist danger, we, in fact, do not rely on the Libyan Air Force alone, the Libyan naval forces alone, or the bases of air defense alone, but on the Arab people from the Gulf to the ocean. We also rely on the African youth, who I have seen during my recent tour and who are ready to fight beside us. We also rely on the people in Latin America and in the world. We rely on the loyal friends in the socialist camp who constitute half of the earth.

Where did the Libyan defiance against the recent open blustering by U.S. imperialism and Zionism derive its strength? [chants and applause] It comes from our reliance on the revolution burning in the hearts of the sons of the Arab nation and on the ability of the Arab nation at the time of unity, rather to unite, and its acceptance of death.

No veto can negate this right. The United States can use the veto against the UN Security Council resolutions. It can stop UN resolutions by the veto. But, whatever power of veto it might muster, and whatever military terrorist power it may adopt or economic power it may take, it cannot cancel the decision to die.

When an Arab girl called Sana' decided to die in the face of the evil called Israel, the whole world could not stop it or sway her from this decision. When a fedayeen boy, not more than 12 years old planted mines on his body and in the truck he drove and decided to die killing 300 U.S. soldiers, the United States could not abrogate or annul his decision. This was a decision that was valid in spite of the United States. It was thus forced to flee in disgrace and humiliation from Lebanon. If it had wanted to stay, it would have had to bear the consequences: 1 fedayeen for 300 U.S. soldiers. Another fedayeen had made the same decision. He decided to kill 300 French soldiers and be martyred. Neither France nor the United States nor the *New Jersey* nor Zionism could veto this decision.

We, by our bold confrontation and defiance of the United States and Zionism, did not rely on the military means only — on how many tanks and how many planes. These calculations cannot be relied on. The United States may calculate how many planes, how many bullets, and how many tanks Libya has and try to calculate how many fighting days it can last. These are false calculations.

The thing that cannot be defeated is the spirit of the nation. It is the masses. It is the readiness to offer sacrifice. Even if we did not have planes or ships and decided to die, we could swim in the sea with mines planted on our bodies. Riding in fibreglass boats or rubber boats, which are not difficult to obtain — we could obtain thousands of boats — with mines planted on our bodies we could turn into explosive charges in the Mediterranean. Even if we had no missiles or planes, we could decide today, and the United States could not annihilate the thousands of boats [words indistinct]. [applause and chants]

What happened is that Libya appeared to the whole world as a victim of unjustified flagrant aggression. The whole world faced the U.S. President and asked him by what right he threatens Libya with invasion, by what justification. He said that Libya supports the Palestinians, and the Palestinians carried out fedayeen operations in Rome and Vienna.

Now the whole world asks in their hearts: Is this the only incident that has taken place? If the matter concerns the question of Palestine, this is a serious matter with deep roots. Thousands and hundreds of thousands and millions would die for its sake. If every operation which has a connection [chants interrupt Al-Qadhafi, sentence left incomplete].

What happened is that all the world stood on the side of Libya. Why? They told Reagan: If after every operation and counter operation you declare a state of national emergency in the United States, then it means that you must be prepared to enter, every month, dozens of wars with your fleets at any spot in the world. Naturally this is a form of madness. U.S. citizens are now laughing at the President. They told him that this violence concerns the problem of Palestine and that he must expect more of it.

I have effectively stressed and I stress again: The world must expect more acts of violence so long as the Palestinian people are outside their land. Also, we welcome that the Israelis enter this series of action and counteraction, revenge and counterrevenge

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 5

NORTH AFRICA

with us. We do want the Israelis to be dragged into this series with the Palestinians. [words indistinct — Al-Qadhdhafi seems to be addressing the camera crew] [slogans chanted]

What we want the Israelis to be dragged into is this series. We want the Israelis to enter into this [word indistinct] competition with the Palestinians, the competition of action and counter-action. If we succeed in dragging the Israelis into this series, it would mean the end of the Zionist entity. Yes, we want the Palestinians to take revenge when the Israelis commit an act against them. The Israelis would then say: We are going to take counterrevenge. We want this to happen. Then they would have to react, and we on our part undertake counteraction. It is such a series that will lead to the end of the Israelis.

They cannot enter into a dangerous competition of this kind with the Arab nation, because the Arab nation [words indistinct]. The Arab nation is striving to take revenge on imperialism and Zionism. Therefore, every hour there will be a fedayeen who will carry out a reaction, carry out revenge, and carry out an act of vengeance. The Israelis, or the Americans, will react in this series through specific known means which can never be changed, and which are the force of the military destructive instrument. We, the Arabs, the means with which we enter this battle are not those traditional means.

We enter with the human, the fedayeen — the human being who will come out of anywhere — any place which they think as impregnable; these places have now been proven as not so. The fedayeen comes out of the land, but the aircraft can only come out of a base. The aircraft can only come out of a base, and the tank can only come out of a camp, and the [word indistinct] can only come out of a base and needs fuel and needs fuel supplied in the air, [words indistinct], and needs spare parts, and needs [word indistinct] hostile operations. Also an aircraft or ship could be detected by radar and could be destroyed in an attack. But, the Arab fedayeen cannot be detected by the radar, and the missiles that are used against military installations could not be used against him. He does not need an aircraft carrier to carry him in order to carry out his mission. We decided to (?parade), as the United States parades its fleets in the sea before us [words indistinct], or the Israelis parade their Air Force, the only military means on which they rely for vengeance against the Palestinians. I also parade our real force now — I parade our huge force which will really terrorize them when we will use it. In fact when they say terrorist, it is a meaningful word. It means the one who terrorizes the enemy and terrifies the enemy. In fact, now Reagan is trembling. He is terrified and shaking. The muscles between his chest and shoulder are shaking.

Now the U.S. White House is under very strict electronic security. The spokesman for the U.S. State Department admitted that all U.S. State Department buildings and installations are now under strict security. The Pentagon, this 5-cornered building, is under strict security. The U.S. borders, they announced it — its northern and southern borders, the eastern and southern borders — are now supplied with electronic security and guards for fear that Libya or Arab terrorists might infiltrate them from Canada. There are thousands of Libyan students in Canada and they are afraid that they might infiltrate the borders.

You know the story of The Fifth Knight. This indicates fear. If they terrorize us with their fleets, we terrorize them with the Palestinians. The Fifth Knight, this novel is about [words indistinct]. A group of Palestinians, their sons are there, they go into the United States [words indistinct]; they go inside the United States [cheers]. This is the story of The Fifth Knight. It reflects terror and fear, not from the Arab aircraft carriers, not from the Arab strategic bombers, not from Arab nuclear submarines, not from Arab intercontinental missiles, but terror from the Arab man. Imagine that in the United States a Palestinian group — Palestinian young men and women — and we commission them to carry an atomic bomb and to hide it in New York City. Then they say either the Israelis get out of Palestine or we detonate the atomic bomb that we stored in the middle of New York under the control of Palestinian youths. The story of The Fifth Knight is about this subject.

This is a symbolic atomic bomb, because the real atomic bomb cannot be carried by personnel. It would be carried by an aircraft or other means. However, it is an expression of the fact that the atomic bomb, as far as the writer is concerned, is the Palestinian fedayeen to whom I can give an order and say blow up America from inside, go into America. This is exactly [words indistinct]. This means that the atomic bomb is not a real atomic bomb, but the atomic bomb is the Palestinian fedayeen. This is true. We can plant our atomic bombs and we can plant our bases everywhere in the world, and they do not need aircraft or military bases. They are fedayeen. One booby traps himself, and another dies. [Sentence indistinct]. Nobody can stop them by adopting resolutions. The whole world, the United States, and the Israelis witnessed the fedayeen who blew up the U.S., French, and Israeli forces headquarters.

Now let us talk about the military confrontation. Yesterday orders were given to move a new U.S. aircraft carrier from the Indian Ocean, and it is now crossing the Suez Canal on its way to the Mediterranean region so that there will be three U.S. aircraft carriers there.

The arrival of a new U.S. aircraft carrier accompanied by a support group in the Mediterranean basin in fact constitutes a dangerous attitude in the U.S. policy and an escalation of the military action in a very sensitive region of the world.

The Mediterranean basin does not belong to the United States. This sea was once called the Roman Sea when the Roman state was in control of the other side and in the 8th century AD it was called the Sea of the Arabs [Bahr al-Arab]. The United States used to pay tolls to enter this sea, and when it had refused to pay the toll the ship *Philadelphia* was destroyed. When it attacked us in Derna with seven warships it was defeated and expelled, and Derna was evacuated on 11 June 1805.

The United States was always defeated in the Mediterranean Sea then; it was always an alien in the Mediterranean Sea. It used to pay tolls to enter the Mediterranean. The U.S. military presence in the Mediterranean therefore constitutes a genuine danger in an extremely sensitive region of the world. This is because the United States, which is present in this sensitive region, wants to play with fire here.

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 6

NORTH AFRICA

As far as we are concerned, when the United States plays with fire we will not give any consideration whatsoever to communications in the Mediterranean, nor to trade in the Mediterranean, nor tourism in the Mediterranean, nor any navigation lines of any state whether maritime or air lines. We will completely destroy them.

If the United States commits an aggression against us using the Mediterranean, its bases or aircraft carriers in the Mediterranean, then the Mediterranean will become a zone of operations — it becomes a zone of operations. The sea will then become a zone of an all-out war and acts of violence will be undertaken in the Mediterranean in accordance with war conventions based on international law. Acts of war would then be practiced relentlessly.

If somebody opens fire on us it means that he has resorted to war, and any clause of the war conventions say that if war begins then acts of violence become permissible between the two sides. Even more it would be said that he who uses more violence will be more humane because he is seeking a quick end to the war.

If the United States opens fire on us, it means that acts of violence are permissible, and therefore, we will then use violence. The first region to be destroyed will be the Mediterranean region because it contains bases, fleets, maritime and air lines, no matter who they belong to. A siege would then be imposed on the Mediterranean, and nothing will reach the Israelis, neither ships nor aircraft if they are within our reach.

Afterwards we [word indistinct] the consequences of this because it will be self-defense in accordance with Clause 51 of the UN Charter which authorizes self-defense and the use of all means of violence [words indistinct], in self-defense becomes legitimate. The United States must take this into account. It is now moving seven new naval units — an aircraft carrier escorted by six other units — to escalate military action in the Mediterranean.

This presence is considered as an aggressive policy which the United States does not want to abandon. The United States wants to drag the war into a third world war. The U.S. President is a second Hitler. Why is all the world now condemning Nazism and considering Hitler to be a war criminal, and all Hitler's men are now wanted for trial as war criminals? Likewise, mankind is now trying Reagan as a war criminal. There is no difference at all between Nazism and imperialism.

Imperialism wants to divide the world on religious and racist lines. We, from a philosophical point of view, reject what is called the State of Israel because it is founded on a racist and confessional basis. We do not accept, and all the Arab peoples do not accept that the world be divided on religious lines, otherwise all Muslims would have to go to Saudi Arabia which they would then turn into a religious state, and they would make Mecca their capital, just as the Israelis are now turning Jerusalem into their capital — a religious capital. [Words indistinct] Mecca would then be a religious capital for people of the Muslim faith. All Muslims, from the United States, from Indonesia, and from the USSR would then have to go to Saudi Arabia and found a religious state with Mecca as capital.

Therefore, it is impossible, illogical, ridiculous, and [word indistinct] that Jews from all parts of the world should converge on Palestine and take Jerusalem as their capital. This is ridiculous and should be rejected; it is reactionary and absolutely unacceptable logic. We, the Arabs, now [words indistinct]. The Jews should go back to the countries from where they came; we are against any country that persecutes the Jews. We are against the Jews who came to Palestine from abroad and not the Jews in any part of the world. But the fact that the Jews are coming from all parts of the world to Palestine shows that states persecute their citizens for religious reasons. The country that persecutes a Jew because he is Jew is responsible. We the Arabs are not responsible. The Arabs are not responsible for Hitler's crimes. If Hitler annihilated the Jews or practiced violence against them [word indistinct] in Germany, they must create a state for them in Germany. West Germany now sympathizes with the Israelis. If so, let Germany and United States create for them a state in Germany. It was Germany that persecuted the Jews; it is the one that [words indistinct]. It is not the Arab countries' or the Palestinian people's fault.

If the United States and the world sympathize with the Jews because of the genocide against them, why do they not sympathize with the Palestinians who are being killed en masse. Therefore, it is not a question of sympathizing with [words indistinct]; this is a racist issue. This is a new racism; This is malice against the Arab nation. This is hated for Islam and for [word indistinct]. On the other hand this is against people seeking liberation. This is war between freedom and no freedom. We are people who want liberation while imperialism wants to colonize us. [Word indistinct] the persecuted and dispersed Palestinian people.

The United States declares that the Palestinian people are now divided; it pledges to the Jews to divide the Palestinians. The Palestinian people (?were not) divided; they have divided it now [words indistinct]. The American people [words indistinct] now understand the story. There is no longer [word indistinct], no understanding. The truth is clear; it is as clear as the sun. We say let it be. Let them use their fleet; we resort to violence.

But people reject this, but what it does against us also might be done against Nicaragua, Panama, Grenada, North Korea, Angola, Mozambique, Ethiopia, and Iran. America is taking a stand which is not against us only. It is against all people aspiring for liberation. In other words, the United States wants people to submit and itself to dominate. As a matter of fact, this is the nature of imperialism.

On the other hand, concerning the position of the Soviet Union on our side, the Soviet Union does not accept, like us, the partition of the world on a religious basis. This state called Israel is a state based on religious foundations, and on racist foundations. We cannot accept that the world be partitioned once again on a confessional, racist basis. This is rejected. This is a matter that must be removed because it is dangerous and upsets all the world regulations. Because, from this point of view, the Magi would look for one another to form a state, the Zoroastrians would look for one another to form a state, Muslims would form a state, [words indistinct], every sect would form a state. Likewise, the Catholics would form a state, orthodox would form a state in the

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 7

NORTH AFRICA

world, the Protestants would have a state. Well, now that the Jews have formed a state on this basis, well then, all religions would have to look for a place for themselves, partitioned from the world on a religious basis.

Our state would then extend to Indonesia, to the United States where there is the black nation of Islam, and our capital would be Mecca. We would then form a single state, and the rest of the world would then be partitioned on this basis.

Thus, the truth is that the Soviet Union has been supporting the Palestinian people, and standing on our side because our cause is a just one. Furthermore, the state is founded on a capitalist basis, that is the Israelis are now — what is called Israel — relying on Jewish capital in the world — the Zionist banks in the world. Obviously this is the opposite of socialism to the toiling classes. This is a base for capitalism and an advanced base for imperialism.

The proof that the United States is using the Israelis as an advanced base is the clear alliance with it. This alliance is unfair. The United States forbids any contact with the chairman of the PLO. Imagine! He has a just cause though. It does not matter whether he is Abu 'Ammar [Yasir 'Arafat], or somebody else, anyone, yesterday, today, or tomorrow. The United States officially forbids any contact with anyone chairing the PLO or any member of the PLO, considering them pests and criminal.

Abu 'Ammar has a just cause! Now everyone recognizes the PLO, recognizes the cause of the Palestinian people. What I mean is that there is no use in talking with the United States unless it moderates its stand and recovers its senses. True, there is no use in talking with it, because it is a tyrannical and striking force. Just as there used to be no possibility of talking with Hitler or of making him abandon his decision to invade the whole world, or dissuading Alexander of Macedonia from invading the world.

Likewise, there is no use in discussing anything with the U.S. President now just as there was no use in discussing anything with Hitler — because he is not attacking the USSR, or Europe, or the British Isles.

What is happening now in the world is exactly like what happened with Hitler. Everything is by force. He was a war criminal. Reagan should be confronted with force and should be considered a war criminal. He should be confronted by all people. In fact, we are serious in creating a wide front of the people who are liberated and who are exposed to invasion and persecution from the United States. We, the Libyan people specifically, cannot put up with U.S. terrorism; we cannot live every day and every month with the aircraft carriers coming nearer — oh no, they are going away — the United States [words indistinct] in the Gulf of Sidra — no, they stopped. This means that we would live under continuous terrorism. We will blow ourselves up. We tell the United States before the world [words indistinct] at any moment. We would rather end than live under this official terrorism — official terrorism imposed on us.

The Palestinian people was exposed to it in Palestine, and they kicked them out of Palestine by force. The Palestinians went to Syria. They hit them in Syria. They went to Lebanon. They hit

them in Lebanon. They went to Tunisia. They hit them in Tunisia. [Words indistinct] and said to them we will chase you from one country to another, but you are forbidden from attacking us in [words indistinct]. The Palestinian has the right to attack Zionism even in the United States itself. [Sentence indistinct]. The U.S. military masses and the U.S. threats against the Jamahiriya will only increase our (?firmness) and defiance [words indistinct]. We are ready for confrontation, (?the military) confrontation. [Passage indistinct]. We would like to conclude with the following points:

First: As far as the world was concerned, the stance of the Soviet Union and the socialist bloc had great effect in deterring the U.S. madness. Therefore the friendship between the Arabs and the Soviet Union was tested. We are not satellites of the Soviet Union. At least we are not Marxists. [Passage indistinct]. We have the third international theory. We have the *Green Book*. They have Marxism. They have the *Red Book*.

But it was proved that nations which differ in their social ideologies could establish friendship between themselves that is based on mutual respect; on the basis of actual support for the right of each of them to live peacefully; on the fact that there are joint aims which unite them; and the fact that there are immortal human values, including peace and progress, freedom and equality, and lifting injustice and combatting hegemony, expansion, and colonialism. These aims now are proved to be immortal, and that they actually unite us with the socialist bloc. We and the socialist bloc are now fighting for peace; fighting for progress, prosperity, and freedom; fighting for equality; fighting against hegemony, expansion, and imperialist intelligence; fighting against the foreign bases on others' land, against the threats with nuclear fleets, and against solving world problems by force. These aims were tested during this stage as far as Libya is concerned, Libya, which now represents the Arab nation. These values and principles and joint aims have succeeded in the test. They were tested in the past when Jamal 'Abd al-Nasir was exposed to the tripartite aggression, when he was exposed to the Zionist invasion in '67 and also when Egypt went on the offensive in 1973. The socialist community, at the fore of which is the Soviet Union, always stands at the side of the Arabs because the Arabs are defending the right to live on their territory and are not invaders. We want peace, so the Soviet Union supports us; we, the Soviet Union, and the socialist community share these objectives.

It has been proved that it is possible to establish true friendship between nations of different social systems. We and the Soviet Union differ socially yet friendship exists between us. It has been proved that this friendship is a true friendship. It means that the Arab Libyan people and the Arab nation have to appreciate the stance of the Soviet Union in the face of the U.S.-Zionist madness.

A world superpower truly says that the Palestinian people are oppressed. The Arab nation is an oppressed nation. Libya is subjected to official terrorism. This is tyranny. This is imperialism. On the other hand the Arab nation stands by us. The Arab nation, however, will be put to the test on 22 January when the Arab foreign ministers meet supplied with instructions from their heads of states. I contacted these leaders by telephone one by one and they promised to stand by Libya. This will be put to the test

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 8

NORTH AFRICA

on 22 January when the foreign ministers meet in Tunis for an emergency meeting of the Arab League to answer the economic war declaration by the United States.

If the United States has postponed the military war, it has declared an economic one.

Libya is engaged now in a battle with the United States, namely an economic war. We will see the Arab nation on 22 January and what its reply will be to the U.S. nation. The U.S. nation has waged war against the Libyan people only because they are part of the Arab nation and because they represent the Arab nation. For the sake of Palestine, for the sake of Arab nationalism, for the sake of the Arab unity, the United States has imposed this war on them. Thus, what is termed as sanctions against Libya are in fact sanctions against the Arab nation. It is a challenge to the Arab nation to brow beat it, bow its head, and to deter it from demanding its right to live and its place under the sun. This is the U.S. logic. Hence, it is a challenge to the Arab nation from the ocean to the Gulf, including its rulers, parties, popular institutions, peasants, workers, students, soldiers, kings, princes, and presidents — all of them. It is a challenge to them, and the test will be on the 22 January.

Western Europe will meet on 21 January at the request of the United States to enter the economic war against Libya. We will see what Western Europe will do on 21 January and what their stand will be toward this battle imposed on us by the United States. We have stressed that there are common interests between West Europe and the Arab nation and that there is a very prosperous future for these interests and great hope of developing them. The Mediterranean is a bridge between the Arab nation, Africa, and Europe and therefore it should be transformed into a region of peace, communications, trade, tourism, common interest, civilization, and culture. The U.S. fleets, which are desecrating it [word indistinct] and shaking its peacefulness, should be removed.

West Europe [words indistinct] as there are, in fact, no common interests between Libya and the United States, but there are, however, some great, unlimited, and undeveloped common interests between Libya and West Europe and between the Arab nation and West Europe. On 21 January all of Europe is to meet to discuss Reagan's call for a war against Libya. The Arab nation is to meet on 22 January to formulate its answer (?to the world) and (?I hope) that the leaders of the Arab nation are listening to what I am saying. [Words indistinct]

Another point: The strong basic people's congresses are being held on Libyan soil. They are composed of Arab citizens as well as Libyan citizens. The congresses have been held in all parts of the Jamahiriyah. First I would like to point out that these congresses should be established on a permanent basis so that the people's congresses may spread together with the phenomenon of the people's authority. For the first time the Arabs have found a political framework — the people's congresses — that he himself can establish and through which he can exist and express what ever he wants. [chanting] In fact, I have followed the pan-Arab resolutions of the people's congresses. I have listened to what the brother Arabs have said in this respect. I felt that the Arab nation was born anew. I recalled 1952, 1958, the years after 1954 when

the Algerian revolution was declared, the tripartite aggression on Egypt, the unity between Egypt and Syria in 1958, and when the Palestine revolution started. I feel that the Arab nation has these days regained that spirit, the spirit of confrontation, the spirit of defiance, and the spirit of revolution. Even Pakistan, today thousands of youth in Rawalpindi in Pakistan came out to demonstrate in support of you against the United States. This happened today. [applause] In the Ivory Coast, thousands of students demonstrated in your support and scores of them were arrested. This happened in the Ivory Coast. [words indistinct] the Zionist enemy. [applause and chants]

Today I received a message from the Reds Movement, the new alternative in Europe, declaring their stand by us against U.S. imperialism. [applause] They said they are ready to fight desperately for the toppling of U.S. bases in Europe and the destruction of NATO, which place Europe in the mouth of the atomic gun if there were a third world war, so that Western Europe would be sacrificed for the United States. The new Reds Movement, the alternative movement, could destroy this [words indistinct] in Western Europe. [Heavy applause drowns Al-Qadhdhafi's voice]

Then the pan-Arab congress in Libya must become a state. The best decision made by these congresses is that the Arabs who are in Libya would be trained for suicide missions and fedayeen and economic work. [applause] Trainers will be allocated to begin training all members of the Arab people's congresses in the Arab territory for suicide operations. We will provide them with the required weapons to carry such missions when the need arises. [applause]

I shall (?accept) as much as we can, all those who volunteer to join the Green Revolutionary Guards from the sons of the Arab nation [applause] headed by battalions of Palestinians [word indistinct] the birth of the [word indistinct] and the Revolutionary Green Guards, because Libya is the base from which the march for the liberation of Palestine could begin.

We will accept volunteers from all over the Arab homeland and the Islamic world, in the Air Force, the Naval Force, and the Air Defense Force. [applause]

We accept their volunteering to join the Libyan Arab Force, the Libyan Naval Forces, the Libyan Air Defense Forces. [applause] We invite all the youth of the Arab nation, men or women, to join the Libyan Arab Forces, the Libyan Naval Forces, and the Libyan Air Defense Forces. [applause]

Also the sons of the members of the basic people's congresses, the Arab and pan-Arab, which have been set up on Libyan territory have the right from now on to join the Arab Libyan Armed Forces or the military colleges of various corps which I mentioned. [applause]

I also extend an invitation to the Arabs present on Libyan territory and also all the Arabs who see that Libya is approaching a dangerous confrontation with imperialism and Zionism, that it is the base of challenge and the struggle, the springboard for liberation, and is the one who expressing the Arab pride and dignity. [applause]

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 9

NORTH AFRICA

We would like to say to all those Arabs who are present on Libyan territory or outside it that they must take the initiative to acquire Arab Libyan Jamahiriya nationality [applause drowns al-Qadhafi's words] and Libya becomes the country of the masses. [applause] Libya is the country of the masses. Libya is the country of all Arabs. Thus I invite them to acquire Arab Jamahiriya nationality on a permanent basis so that all differences between one Arab and another will vanish on Libyan soil.

The danger which faces Libya is in fact the same danger that faces Syria, Lebanon, Algeria, Yemen, Palestine, or any other state. Palestine was the first victim. Imperialism and Zionism plans for the rest of the Arab countries to be the next victims so that they will replace us. This is a theory and a foregone conclusion. There is no room for argument. They will impose it by force as time passes. This danger has awakened us to the need for Arab unity. The Arabs must reject the utterances of good-neighborliness, brotherhood, solidarity, and tolerance and replace them by Arab unity, immediate unity, merger unity, economic unity, military and political unity. [applause] A unity for liberation, for the sake of liberation, for the sake of return, and for the sake of building power — both economic and military; unity that benefits from the abundant spiritual power of this nation and uses the enormous material capabilities of this nation in this dangerous confrontation between the U.S. nation and the Arab nation, that is between imperialism and Zionism and the Arab nation.

Therefore, I am in constant contact and have a date with my brothers in Syria and Algeria, and the first item on our agenda is Arab unity. [applause] Also the invitation for Arab unity is not addressed to Syria and Algeria alone but we address it today to the free people of Sudan, the maker of [name indistinct] popular revolution. [applause and chanting]

Perhaps something harmful is quite useful. Whenever imperialism challenges us and thinks that it is making us retreat and whenever Zionism denies us our right to return to our homeland, the revolution explodes in the Arab homeland. [Words indistinct] between Libya and the United States, the Arab map will change. The Arab armies will decide to participate in this war or topple the regimes which do not participate in it. The masses of the Arab nation, the youth of the Arab nation — men and women — unless they are allowed to participate in this war, will stage the popular revolution. [applause]

What is regrettable at these glorious hours while we challenge the biggest power on earth, and witness the (?Arab) popular mobilization with us, and see the Arab nation standing as one with us, are two negative points we ought to mention with pain and regret: One is the attitude of Iraq and the other is what is now taking place in Aden. We regret the events in Aden and consider them a loss and a tragedy in actual fact. This is because the fighting did not take place between the forces of the Arab nation and its real enemies but between comrades. The fact remains that those who were killed were our friends and comrades and dear to us. This is in addition to the destruction which was inflicted on Aden and on the forces of Aden. We now declare, however, that we are prepared to do everything to stop the fighting in Aden, to restore peace there, and to protect the revolution in Aden. [applause] Yesterday I contacted by tele-

phone — was it yesterday or the day before? — President 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih in Sanaa and asked his permission for me to land in Sanaa [applause] accompanied by a force and then to march from the north to the south to go to Aden to stop the fighting and to protect the revolution.

But Brother 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih, despite his appreciation of this attitude, replied by saying that there are some sensitive matters in relations between Aden and Sanaa, and in circumstances such as these before the motive becomes known, it may be interpreted as a march on Aden or interference from the north in the affairs of the south. But if the brothers in Aden can be contacted and agree to such an action then he will inform me. Today he [words indistinct]. Naturally, the communications between us and them have been totally cut off. We have addressed a message to them via the Voice of the Greater Arab Homeland Radio and asked them to stop fighting and told them that we are prepared to participate in stopping the fighting and in protecting the revolution in South Yemen. Today the Aden radio began to transmit again and we are trying — some of the brothers are trying — to contact them to find out what the situation is. Really this is a negative and regrettable factor in the present circumstances when we need every bullet and every Arab to confront the enemy.

The second point is Iraq's attitude. Regrettably at a time when the United States was marching with its fleet toward Libya and Israeli aircraft were being moved onboard U.S. aircraft carriers, and on 5 and 6 January war was about to break out between imperialist Zionism and Libya — this small revolutionary country — Iraq opened six radio stations hostile to the Libyan people. We want the Arab nation, the Iraqi people, and the Iraqi Army to hear this. Why has the Iraqi Government opened six [word indistinct] stations hostile to the Libyan people at a time when there is a confrontation between Libya and the United States and the Israelis?

When the Israelis hit the Iraqi nuclear reactor, relations between Libya and Iraq had already been severed. I contacted Saddam Husayn by telephone, however, and told him that we should retaliate against that strike; we should destroy the reactor at Demona, because, if we do not retaliate against this strike, the Israelis will go on and he would see what they would do to him. I told him that Libya was prepared to do everything he wanted it to do. He was not prepared. You saw what the Israelis did after that in Beirut. You saw what they did in Tunis. You saw what they did in Egypt. They are still doing it. Unless they get frightened they will go on. The imperialists and the Zionists — either you frighten them or they inflict destruction on you. There is no other alternative but to frighten them. One should be prepared to die not once but one hundred times in operations against them. [words indistinct because of loud applause]

We want the Libyan people, all the Arab people, the Iraqi people, and the Iraqi Army [word indistinct] their government at a time when Libya [words indistinct] and the Israelis, [word indistinct] six hostile radio stations. Who is administering these stations? They are all being administered by the agents of U.S. intelligence. That is to say the people have been trained by U.S. intelligence and have been given an officer on temporary duty from U.S. intelligence to train them and get them to [word indistinct]. Iraq opens these stations — naturally U.S. stations

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 10

NORTH AFRICA

— for them on Iraqi land and under the protection of the Iraqi Government. This means that they are agents of U.S. intelligence — imagine, trained by U.S. intelligence — and are the ones who are broadcasting from Iraq against the Libyan people. Iraq protests: Why has Libya sided with Iran? Libya has sided with Iran? This is a revolution and we stand with it, it is because Iran is against America and against Zionism and we stand with it. You [Iraq] are with America. Now these are American stations and agents of American intelligence are broadcasting from them. Why do you blame us for siding with the Iranian revolution if you are with America, the radio stations are American, and the agents of American intelligence are broadcasting against the Libyan people at this critical stage? Instead of siding with us, Iraq has opened six radio stations against us. Iraq supports the American 6th fleet. It asks us: Why do you side with the Iranian revolution? Because in Iran there is a revolution. We do not oppose a revolution and we do not betray it. We advocate revolution in Iran. The revolution was staged in Iran [words indistinct] when I used to incite them to revolution, they used to record my speeches. In Iraq there is no revolution. Then a confrontation takes place between Iraq and Iran. Today Iraq is bringing back the spirit of 14 July revolution of 1958, when there was no link between us and Iraq

Therefore, the economic battle has begun and yesterday I spoke to the Libyans about this. From today we should start applying the methods of the new economic counterbattle which has been imposed on us. As far as the Arab nation is concerned, we are waiting to see what it will do on 22 January; we are waiting to see what Western Europe will do on 21 January. We are very grateful to the USSR and the socialist countries. Their stance has become clear. Friendship between us and them has been tested and has passed the test. We are expecting Hitler No 2 not to retreat unless he is defeated and arrested and tried as a war criminal. Nazism which thought it would go on for ever, ended. It bulldozed the small nations in a matter of hours and reached the farthest part of the globe, but in a short time Nazism disappeared. The earth swallowed up millions of Hitler's soldiers. Hitler himself committed suicide and became a war criminal. Now Reagan believes that he can play on the international theater as he used to act on the stage in Hollywood. He believes that he can transform the globe into an U.S. empire. We say to him: To hell with you! [applause] We say to him: You will never be mightier than Hitler was [words indistinct]. Small people have triumphed. The first victim was Poland which he thought would rebel; he just [words indistinct]. He announced that Poland was no 15 in the world from the point of view of military and economic strength. Where is Hitler and where is Nazism? Mussolini used to believe that he owned the Middle East and North Africa — he made an empire from Abyssinia to Tunisia. Abyssinia is an independent state and Libya is an independent state and there is Tunisia and Somalia; but where is Mussolini? Where is fascism? But this was not possible by understanding; nor could Mussolini do it by understanding; it was only possible by an alliance between people against these invading empires and by destroying them. It is inevitable for people now to form an alliance against Reagan — the second Hitler — against this new Nazism; against this new fascism in order to destroy it. The struggle continues and forward!

Al-Qadhdhafi Interviewed on Terror, Sanctions

DW161029 Hamburg ARD Television Network in German
2130 GMT 15 Jan 86

[Interview with Mu'ammarr al-Qadhdhafi, on the "Tages-themen" program by moderator Hanns Joachim Friedrichs via TV cable link with Tripoli — questions in English translated into Arabic, answers in Arabic, all with superimposed German translation — live]

[Text] [Friedrichs] Good evening, sir. Incidentally, how do I address you?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] [Untranslated answer]

[Friedrichs] Alright, let us forget the protocol question. Let me ask you the first question. You have said that you had nothing to do with the airport massacres in Vienna and Rome. Are you sorry about what happened?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] If we have to be sorry, we must be sorry about the incidents in Dayr Yassin and about the other bloodbaths that have taken place elsewhere, namely, the bloodbath in Grenada and in South Africa by the U.S. Armed Forces, and also about the bloodbath in Shatila that was caused by Sharon and the Israeli ministers.

[Friedrichs] I would like to know, though, whether you regret the incidents in Rome and Vienna.

[Al-Qadhdhafi] [Words indistinct] 99 children, women, and men whom the Israeli planes bombarded in Sinai. We should be sorry about the incidents in southern Lebanon, and we should also be sorry about the incidents in Rome and Vienna.

[Friedrichs] But I would like to know what your stance is on the occurrences at the Rome and Vienna airports. Can you describe your attitude?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] I regret the period of mourning which this crazy world is undergoing as a result of official terrorism practiced by the U.S. Government against the Palestinian people and against the people of Granada, or the people in South Africa. I am sorry about the causes of terrorism, meaning the causes of the developments, the causes of violence — the imperialist and Zionist attacks now occurring in the world. The world reacts to them.

[Friedrichs] Obviously you have no regrets about what happened in Vienna and Rome. Would you refuse to support — by supplying weapons, funds, training courses, or shelter — anyone who has planned acts of violence against innocent people if you were aware of those plans?

[Al-Qadhdhafi's translator] I could not hear a word. Could you just point out the question, please?

[Friedrichs] It is my second question. Question no 2.

[Al-Qadhdhafi] [Consults written text] We do not extend any support, unless it involves individuals, no matter whether they have committed operations such as those in Rome and Vienna. [as heard] But we do extend support to the Palestinian resistance, which enjoys international recognition and which defends a just cause. We must blame the United States if an Israeli plane that had been built in the United States causes a bloodbath or bombs civilian places. In that case we must ask the United States: How can you give weapons to Israel, and how can you cause such

V. 16 Jan 86

Q 11

NORTH AFRICA

bloodbaths? In general, we support the Palestinian people and the Palestinian resistance, and the world recognizes that organization. We are not responsible for individual operations by persons. We have nothing to do with the way they obtain their weapons nor with the execution of their operations.

[Friedrichs] There are reports in this country and in other countries that Libya maintains at least 20 training camps for Palestinian commandos. Is that right or wrong?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] In any case, the training camps are not in Libya at this time. But should the liberation of Palestine make it necessary to set up such training camps in Libya, then it is our national duty to do that. It is a different matter if an attempt is made to attack Libya. If Libya is attacked, then it will not be launched against these training camps but against Libya and the economic and military power of the Libyan people would be given for its defense.

[Friedrichs] But did you not say that there are no training camps for Palestinian commandos in Libya?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] No, there are no training camps. If we are asked to prove it, we will.

[Friedrichs] Another question. Abu Nidal [Sabri al-Banna], who publicly claims responsibility for the massacres in Vienna and Rome, says that he admires you greatly. Are you a great admirer of Abu Nidal?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] We will desist from admiring each other now, or from similar things in this respect. We are not in a theater play.

[Friedrichs] Do you know Abu Nidal well?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] I know all the leaders of the Palestinian resistance.

[Friedrichs] When did you last see him?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] That was announced at the time.

[Friedrichs] Colonel Al-Qadhdhafi, assuming the Europeans join the U.S. economic sanctions, what would be your reaction?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] If they did that they would punish themselves, because they have great interests in Libya. It is mutual punishment, including punishment of Europe, because the mad U.S. policy victimizes the interests of other countries. It has targeted nuclear missiles, intermediate-range missiles on Europe [as heard] so that the first great strike against Russia will first be against Europe, so that the United States remains safe. Europe will be the victim. U.S. interests have made it necessary to have missiles against Western Europe. Besides, the United States will join the sanctions [as heard] so that the imperialist policy can be continued, especially during the term of the incumbent President Reagan.

[Friedrichs] There are a number of Germans who currently work in Libya. I believe they number several thousand. Would they have the liberty to return home?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] Of course, if they wish to do that. We will not hinder them. But the German interests will be harmed. The German people appreciate the relations between Libya and Germany. Germany will not share the U.S. position because it is a mad policy.

[Friedrichs] Colonel al-Qadhdhafi, you talked about war. If an armed conflict occurred, where would you be looking for friends?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] As you can see, all the world has taken the side of Libya. The whole world opposes the irresponsible position of the United States; it has opposed Reagan because Reagan is a hazard to world peace. He is playing in scenes that harm the United States. He plays games in the Mediterranean where the Arabs and Africans are living. That sea links the Arabs with the Africans in peaceful commerce. It is a sea of trade with economic communications and relations, and transport routes. Yet, Reagan would like to play with it. Moreover, all the countries in the world have taken our side. There is no reason why Reagan should militarily and economically attack Libya. Besides, it has been found that Libya is now a victim of the imperialist U.S. policy, so that countries and states exercise solidarity with Libya. We cooperate with half the world, that is the socialist camp. We are also a member of African organizations and are affiliated with Arab countries and states. Besides, we are fighting jointly with the peoples of Latin America against imperialism and fascism. All the [word indistinct] and the peace movements are with us in Europe and elsewhere, and they consider us their friends. We will try in the future to serve world peace. Just as the Nazi fascism in the Hitlerite era vanished, the current fascism will also disappear in the future.

[Friedrichs] Col al-Qadhdhafi, reportedly you have said that in the event of war there would be terror in American streets. What does that mean?

[Al-Qadhdhafi] It goes without saying that if the United States attacks us and bombards our children and our people in their homes, we will defend ourselves and do the same, attacking them in their country. We are a small country and we cannot reach the United States, so we will send people who will sacrifice themselves carrying out operations that will be exactly the same as those applied to attack the Americans, French, and Israelis in Lebanon. One fedayeen killed hundreds of Americans, and a Lebanese or a female fedayeen killed several Israelis. So in that case we will be forced to defend ourselves and do the same. It is our international right to defend ourselves and to carry out operations in the world as fedayeen. We will destroy the United States from within. There are people in the world like the Indians in America who will unite with us and carry out operations in the United States.

[Friedrichs] Thank you very much, Col al-Qadhdhafi, for this highly informative interview.

Al-Qadhdhafi, YAR President Discuss Events in PDRY
LD151432 Tripoli JANA in English 0950 GMT 15 Jan 86

[Text] Tripoli, Jumada Awal 4, Jan 15, JAMAHIRIYAH NEWS AGENCY — A telephone contact took place between the leader of the revolution and Colonel 'Ali 'Abdallah Salih, president of Yemen Arab Republic regarding the bloody and unfortunate incidents witnessed in South Yemen.

JANA was informed that the leader of the revolution asked President Salih whether Arab Libyan peace-keeping force would get to North Yemen to head later on for Aden to stop the hostilities among brothers in South Yemen. JANA [words indistinct]